

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_130650
Title of the Manuscript:	Inheritance of Northern Corn Leaf Blight Resistance in Advanced Generations of Maize (Zea mays L.)
Type of the Article	Peer review

**General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected Original Research Articleonly on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

**Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Maize is the world's important and staple crop. Northern Corn Leaf Blight is caused by <i>Exserohilum turcicum</i> . It is most common disease of maize. It easily diagnose and study for control.	We conducted this study because the NCLB disease has economic importance in the NEH region, so it is necessary to address the problem from the plant breeder's perspective.
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	The title was kept concise to capture the main focus of the study.
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes,	We appreciate the reviewer's effort in thoroughly reviewing our manuscript.
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes	We utilized wide range of statistical tools available from published literature and text books to conduct thorough analysis.
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes but require some more references add in this article	We appreciate the reviewer's constructive feedbacks and believe that this revision has strengthened our manuscript. And we have incorporated more references as suggested.
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	yes	We aimed to make the manuscript as clear and comprehensible as possible.
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	No ethical issues