

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_130512
Title of the Manuscript:	The Role of Soil Microbiome in Enhancing Plant Nutrition and Promoting Soil Health
Type of the Article	General article

**General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

**Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>  
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The MS is basically a general informative article with no lab. work carried out to establish the importance of soil microbes in plant growth and development. It is quite informative for the students and may not be of any use for researchers in that field of interest. Citations of authors related to the topic is only limited to gross observations mostly made in books.	Noted
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Looks suitable.	Thanks
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract is comprehensive to the title of MS.	Ok
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Observations are general and descriptive in nature and good for reading.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are sufficient but needs revision and corrections. Most of the reference with multiple Authors are mentioned as et al in Reference section ,where as full name of the Authors are to given as per the instructions to authors for submission of MS.	Noted
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	OK	
Optional/General comments	It can be a simple review MS and not a research MS.  No ethical issues in MS	Ok

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	