

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_130443
Title of the Manuscript:	Influence of Different Levels Organic and Inorganic Fertilizer Growth and Yield of Potato (Solanum tuberosum L.)
Type of the Article	Original Research article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is of remarkable importance for the scientific community and the world of agriculture insofar as it deals with a subject of extreme importance relating to potato fertilization. Knowing also that potato cultivation occupies a significant priority in human eating habits.	Thanks for positive comment
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of the article is appropriate with the content	Thanks for positive comment
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract of the article is complete and consistent with the other parts of the article	Thanks for positive comment
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Scientifically, the manuscript is acceptable and in harmony with the parts that constitute it. in fact, it addresses a current issue by asking questions about the future of crops in relation to the environment by taking the example of potatoes grown in depleted soil by presenting ecological initiatives of organic fertilization.	Thanks for positive comment
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The bibliographic references are rich and diverse and sufficient to present an article for publication	Thanks for positive comment
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language used is correct scientific English and which gave true meaning to the article and the results obtained	Thanks for positive comment
Optional/General comments	<p>This is an article that deserves to be published without modifications.</p> <p>I approve the publication of the article for the scientific quality and the important theme posed in the problem. The results are explicit and clearly displayed.</p> <p>This article seems to me without ethical problems, insofar as there is no plagiarism and the bibliographic references are well cited.</p> <p>Reading the article carefully, I did not notice any conflicts of interest concerning it. there are similar works, but each work has its own approach.</p> <p>I did not find any plagiarism in the article which seemed original to me.</p>	Thanks for positive comment

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	