

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_130443
Title of the Manuscript:	Influence of Different Levels Organic and Inorganic Fertilizer Growth and Yield of Potato (Solanum tuberosum L.)
Type of the Article	Original research article

**General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

**Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>  
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Its research based paper.	Thanks for positive comment
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	Thanks for positive comment
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, need to improvement in abstracts. Unit is messing line 16-19. After vermicomposting. No need to add "@". Short the abstract like reduce the introduction part. Add more on technical part. Keyword should be alphabetical.	Corrected as per suggestion
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes. Its current but need to improve	Corrected as per suggestion
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes. No need to add.	Corrected as per suggestion
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	Thanks for positive comment
Optional/General comments	1. add references for Nutrient content in introduction part.. 2. et al., its should be in Italic. 3. add scientific process or methods for collection of data. 4. add stat. design with refe. 5. Unit is missing in Table 1,2,3,4 ,5 write-up. 6. Discuss part also missing.	Corrected as per suggestion

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	