

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_130433
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of critical crop weed-competition in cotton (Gossypium hirsutum L.)
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Information on the critical weed competition of cotton weeds is important as an information for cotton producers. Without the knowing critical weed competition period weed management is west of time and resource including production. Though as a research result information it is important if the information's are (research result) will be clearly organized and interpreted according to the data collected.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	No, Critical Crop Weed-Competition in cotton (Gossypium hirsutum L.)	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	No, it contains details of results which are stated under the result and discussion part	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, it may need some corrections	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	No, the references are not enough	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	No, it may need corrections on grammars and tens and also sequence of ideas	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	