

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_129950
Title of the Manuscript:	Long-term organic and inorganic fertilization affect soil pH, humus carbon fractions, and crop yield in three soils
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript demonstrated the intriguing role of soil carbon in fertilizer management, indicating that the article would receive peer review. Readers would find the impressive results and the analysis of the data methodology useful.	Thank you for your positive evaluation of our manuscript and for recognizing the data analysis approach.
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes that is.	We are glad to receive confirmation of the suitability of the title.
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	The abstract is comprehensive and provide the appropriate insights into the study. Thanks for the acknowledgement.
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes	We ensured scientific accuracy and employed a data analysis methodology to uphold the integrity of the research findings in this manuscript. Thank you for your feedback.
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	A thorough literature review of previous work was conducted to provide a broader insight into this study and ensure the selected references either support or contrast with our research. We appreciate your response.
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	We reviewed the English and grammar quality of this work to ensure that the journal's readership receives the best presentation of these research findings. Thank you for the feedback.
Optional/General comments	<p>Please review all the figures (tables) in the context. I was unable to locate Example Fig 4 in the context.</p> <p>Thank you so much for our collaboration over the past year. I was impressed with the format for this MS, which would be of a high standard, comparable to a high score in an international journal.</p>	Thank you for your comments. We have reviewed the tables and figures throughout the manuscript in light of your suggestions, and we have also highlighted Fig. 4 in the manuscript, as you specifically mentioned.

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	We prioritized ethical standard all through this research to meet both scientific and academic standards.