

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_128041
Title of the Manuscript:	Influence of Full and Reduced RDF Levels Combined with Nano Diammonium Phosphate on Growth and Nutrient Dynamics in Chickpea (Cicer arietinum)
Type of the Article	Research

**General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

**Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>  
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>



Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Nano DAP is need of the hour for sustainability Nano DAP Improve the fertilizer use efficiency Good work done for optimization of RDF + Nano DAP	Thank you so much.
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Influence of Full and Reduced RDF Levels Combined with Nano Diammonium Phosphate on Growth and Nutrient Dynamics in Chickpea ( <i>Cicer arietinum</i> )  Effect of different RDF regimes and Nano DAP on growth, yield and Nutrient Dynamics of Chickpea ( <i>Cicer arietinum</i> ) can be utilized	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Good Correction can be carried out as mentioned in the comments	Corrections have been done accordingly.
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Research was carried in the correct scientific background	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Ok	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Good	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	