

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Pathogen Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPR_131133
Title of the Manuscript:	Bacteria and Fungi associated with Smoked Tilapia Fish sold in Kure Market Minna Niger State Nigeria
Type of the Article	Research article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>  
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Comments

	<b>Reviewer’s comment</b> Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.	<b>Author’s Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Sampling of the smoked fish and analysis for bacterial and fungal is a novel study and having great importance in industry.	This study of bacteria and fungi in smoked tilapia from Kure Market, Minna, is important because people eat this fish every day, and their health depends on its safety. If harmful microbes are present, they could cause food poisoning or spoil the fish faster, leading to waste and financial loss for sellers and buyers. Knowing what microbes are there helps improve handling, storage, and regulations to keep the fish safe for everyone.
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes ,	Noted
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	No, it was well written.	Noted
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes.	Noted
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Sufficient references were added in the manuscript.	Noted

Review Form 3

Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Good, well written	Noted
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	