

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Pathogen Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPR_131089
Title of the Manuscript:	ANTIBIOGRAMS AND MICROBIAL CARRIAGE OF UNIVERSITY OF JOS CAMPUS SHUTTLE DOOR HANDLES.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Comments

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b> Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The examination of shuttle door handle bacteria shows both microbial presence and antibiogram tests for these bacteria at a University of Jos Campus Shuttle Door Handles. Monitoring pathogenic bacteria transmission through touchable surfaces stands important in public health concern. The rising international concern about antimicrobial resistance (AMR) requires this study to investigate bacterial contamination patterns and resistance behaviour that underscores the value of hygiene practices and infection control protocols. The study results help as guidance for carrying out epidemiological surveillance and hygiene intervention work.	Thank you
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title applies to the research subject matter and represents its academics. But the title should be shortened to "ANTIBIOGRAM PATTERNS AND MICROBIAL CONTAMINATION OF SHUTTLE DOOR HANDLES IN A UNIVERSITY CAMPUS" for convenience without altering its research focus.	Thank you for the suggested title. We have noted your contribution and it assisted us in rephrasing the title.
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract explains the objectives of research along with methodology followed and resulting data combined with study conclusions. But more structured format with numerical findings should be incorporated. The authors should add a section with precise sample size and antibiotic-resistant isolate percentages and organize results via structured reporting while enhancing grammatical coherence.	Thank you for the corrections. All corrections stated have been effected.
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The scientific methodology together with analysis sections are presented scientifically. But this research manuscript lacks statistical assessment of results and only collected Staphylococcus bacteria because it fails to justify why other potential pathogens were excluded. The article should include additional results about antimicrobial resistance. ????	Thank you for the corrections. All corrections stated have been effected.
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references show relevance, but contain some old (Out dated) references. But recent studies within the last five years should be included together with standardized citation methods and research from various global locations.	The corrections have been effected and more references added.
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Grammatical errors exist together with some structural issues that require improvement before finalization. The manuscript needs consistent use of technical terms together with error correction of misspellings such as "Stapylococcus" versus "Staphylococcus" in Page 4, Table 1 of the manuscript.	The corrections have been effected.
Optional/General comments	The manuscript raises a critical matter although it needs additional statistical evidence. The discussion part needs to combine information about existing studies alongside the stated limitations and visual representations (e.g. graphs) of antibiotic resistance data.	The corrections have been effected.

PART 2:

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	