

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Pathogen Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPR_130491
Title of the Manuscript:	Bibliometric Analysis of Publications on Neglected Tropical Diseases in Nigeria using Data from Dimensions Database
Type of the Article	Review

**General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

**Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>  
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript discusses a neglected tropical disease which affects the people, mostly in rural areas. It reviews the number of studies which have been carried out in Nigeria, this is important in that it shows how much Nigerian is keen in sharing knowledge about neglected tropical diseases.	No correction requested from the reviewer
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is suitable	No correction requested from the reviewer
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is okay, though the conclusion needs to answer the main research question, it needs to indicate the main findings of the study which is the bibliometric analysis of publications which were found in Nigeria	The corrections have been made capturing the required information based on the findings and the research question.
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript is scientifically correct in that it only looks at the publications which have been made in neglected tropical diseases.	No correction requested from the reviewer
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The reference are sufficient, however, the style of referencing is not so correct, other references are written according correctly according to Harvard referencing style while others are not	The corrections have been made ensuring that all the references are in Harvard referencing style
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language need to be corrected, there is the use of present tense in some of the sentences, it should be noted that this is an article which is looking at the events which occurred in the past, so the use of past tenses in most of the writing is recommended. For example, the discussion start with a sentence which says "This study explores quantitative...." This sentence needed to be in the past tense	The issue about language tense were reviewed and corrected appropriately
Optional/General comments	The discussion for each figure comes first before the figure is displayed, what need to done is that the figure needs to appear first and the explanation is provided below the figure, not the other way round. The word used to write the article needs to be revisited. For example, in the abstract under methodology, the sentence says " data were collected", it should be corrected to " data was collected", another example is under MARTERIAL AND METHODS on data sources, the sentences is written as "data for this study were collected", it should say " data for this study was collected".	Corrections were made as instructed

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	No correction requested from the reviewer