

Review Form 3

| | |
|--------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Journal Name: | International Journal of Pathogen Research |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_IJPR_130491 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Bibliometric Analysis of Publications on Neglected Tropical Diseases in Nigeria using Data from Dimensions Database |
| Type of the Article | Review |

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | I think this study has some importance to the public health sector and bibliographic sector. Authors have tried to shed some light on the research trend in the field of neglected tropical diseases in Nigeria. | No correction requested from the reviewer |
| Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) | The title looks suitable. | No correction requested from the reviewer |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. | The abstract looks comprehensive but the results and discussion sections didn't present study results in a clear way. Also, limitations part should be removed from the abstract. | The result and discussion were reviewed and corrections made ensuring they are clear and well detailed. The limitations has been instructed from the abstract as instructed. |
| Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here. | The manuscript looks appropriate from a scientific perspective. | No correction requested from the reviewer |
| Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. | No, the manuscript is missing the required citation especially in the introduction part. | The introduction was reviewed and appropriate citations included. |
| Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | Authors are advised to correct many mistakes in punctuations. | Corrections effected particularly areas that were highlighted |
| Optional/General comments | Authors should clearly mention the reason to include the analysis of HIV publications. How this is related to main focus of this study. | It was an attempt to mention that in similar research conducted in HIV/AIDS related literature research that most of the Publications were articles too. Based on your observation and comment I have removed it. |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|----------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | No correction requested from the reviewer |