

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_130900
Title of the Manuscript:	Typology, composition and characterization of solid urban waste in the industrial area of Bobo-Dioulasso (Burkina Faso)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscripts gave insight on the kind of waste generated in the communityand contributes to existing knowledge on the need for adequate management of wastes. This is also enlightens the scientific community on the different categories of waste in different landfills in the community. However the author needs further study on the direct impact of these waste on the ecosystem starting with soil biota, to aquatic habitat as well as humans.	Another article will deal with this, namely the direct impact of these wastes on the ecosystem, starting with soil biota, up to the aquatic habitat as well as on humans.
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is adequate except for the use of 'shall' in the beginning which depicts a kind of proposal writing. Since the work has already been done, the appropriate words/tense should be used. Also there should one or two lines of conclusion at the latter part of the abstract.	These results should serve as a basis for local authorities to take decisions to raise awareness and prevent health and environmental risks arising from the landfill.
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	yes	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	yes	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	yes	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	