

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_130877
Title of the Manuscript:	Temperature and Cultivar Influence on Enzyme Activity and Composition of the Microbial Community in the Rhizosphere of Sweet potato During Early Growth Stage.
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1-reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>  
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript focused on the effects of environmental factors such as temperature (either high or low) on crops. It also analysed how various microorganisms cope with the changes in temperature which may lead to poor productivity.	Agreed
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes.	Agreed
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	It’s okay but with minor corrections.	All the corrections highlighted by the reviewers have been incorporated
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes.	Agreed
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	I suggest the use of the APA style of referencing.	All the references have been changed to APA style as recommended
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes.	Agreed
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• The author has to re-visit the tables and explain the statistical analysis better.</li><li>• The word “ sweet potato” should be separated properly.</li><li>• There shouldn’t be any comma before the conjunction “and”.</li><li>• Every microorganism mentioned should be italicized. It wasn’t in virtually all the tables.</li><li>• The author should follow the right format for in-text citations. In most cases, numbers were used while in some the names were used. Stick to the right one.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• All the tables have been revisited and footnotes with clear explanations have been added to each table</li><li>• The word sweet potato has been separated throughout the manuscript</li><li>• Commas have been removed before the word and throughout the manuscript</li><li>• All the organisms mentioned have been italicized in all the bales</li><li>• All the in-text citations have been revised and written by names following APA style</li></ul>

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	N/A