

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_130811
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of Wastewater Irrigation on Nutrient Uptake and Soil Fertility in Soybean Cultivation
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>
Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I think this research is quite good and provides very useful information, especially for researchers, academics and even for the farming community in general. And the research results reported are also in line with expectations	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, the abstract of this article is quite comprehensive and corresponds to the title of the article and the aim of the research	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Based on scientific studies, this research makes sense and is acceptable, especially among researchers	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Many of the references used are old, perhaps there needs to be additional references that are current, at most 5 or 10 years earlier.	Recent reference added and indicated by yellow colour
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language used is quite good and understandable	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	