

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_130684
Title of the Manuscript:	Epidemiology and Management of Root & Stem rot of Sesame caused by Macrophomina phaseolina
Type of the Article	Research Article

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Yes, the manuscript is significant for the scientific community as it provides detailed insights into the epidemiology and management of root and stem rot in sesame, caused by <i>Macrophomina phaseolina</i> . Also, this study comprehensively correlates weather parameters with disease progression, offering a predictive model that could aid in forecasting and mitigating crop losses.	Yes thanks
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yeah, it’s suitable but it could be more specific and engaging, if the title would be, “Impact of Weather Parameters and Fungicide Strategies on the Management of Root & Stem Rot in Sesame Caused by <i>Macrophomina phaseolina</i> ”	Thank you sir for suggesting this incredible title. I am agreed to change this title of the manuscript
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yeah, the abstract of the manuscript is comprehensive and also I like to suggest to emphasize the key result like the effectiveness of Tebuconazole + Trifloxystrobin.	Sir, effectiveness of Tebuconazole + Trifloxystrobin was already emphasized on the basis of minimu disese, maximum yield and highest B: C ratio.
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct.	Yes thanks
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, the references are adequate for the core findings of the study and are recent.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, the language/English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications.	Yes thanks
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	No there is no ethical issue