

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_130386
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of climatic and anthropogenic factors on the spatio-temporal dynamics of mangroves in the Grand-Bassam wetland, Côte d'Ivoire
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

**General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

**Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This article helps the researchers particularly doing in mangroves with reference to climate change for their deeper understanding regarding impact of climate and human interference.	Alright
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	It would be better to write South Africa at the end of title	Alright
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Here Abstract is divided into 4 parts I.e background, Methodology, result and conclusion ,I think this is as per the format of ur journal .but Abstract reflects the article.	Alright
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	After going through the article it is well compiled keeping scientific temperament into consideration.	Alright
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	Alright
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Language quality is ok and upto mark	Alright
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<b>Mention table no .on page no 4 after this paragraph</b> The woody species present in each quadrat were identified and listed according to standard botanical nomenclatures.(Table no....)	Alright

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	