

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_130376
Title of the Manuscript:	Gender analysis of vulnerability of rural smallholder farming households to climate change and variability in Zimbabwe’s four major tobacco growing provinces
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This study well explained the gender-sensitive framework that could link the gaps between male and female-headed households are needed to form a policy development agenda by the local government to encourage more female households to participate in climate change adaptability and mitigation.	This is a compliment and an approval, and I accept it
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is suitable and well organised plus self-explanatory. It accurately reflects the content of the paper,	This is an approval, and I accept it
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract is comprehensive and well organised. It provides a good overview of the research question, methodology, results, and implications of the study. Only missing are key words, which can be include in the abstract.	Key words included (page 1)
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript is scientifically correct. This is well-written, methodologically & ethically sound. The results of the study were presented in a clear and concise manner. The authors discuss very little about the limitations of their study. Also, they suggested very few directions for future research.	A sub-section 4.3 has been included
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, sufficient references and mostly recent, followed Harvard style. The authors cite a variety of relevant sources, including recent papers on the subject matter.	This is an approval, and I accept it
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, the language / English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications.	This is an approval, and I accept it
Optional/General comments	Overall, I think the manuscript is well-written and informative. It makes a significant contribution to the field of climate change and vulnerability analysis, and it is likely to be of interest to a wide range of researchers.	This is an approval, and I accept it

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	I did incorporated the valuable comments that were indicated