

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_130329
Title of the Manuscript:	Sustainable Urban Development in West Africa: A Rapid Review of Challenges and Opportunities
Type of the Article	Systematic Review

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is significant for the scientific community as it offers a comprehensive synthesis of the challenges and opportunities related to sustainable urban development in West Africa, a region experiencing rapid urbanization and environmental pressures. It identifies critical factors influencing urban sustainability and proposes actionable pathways for policy and planning, filling gaps in existing literature. By emphasizing the integration of technological innovations and community engagement, the study provides valuable insights for researchers, policymakers, and practitioners focused on creating resilient urban environments.	Thank you for the feedback.
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	Thank you for the feedback.
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, I did comment on the abstract, to add some crucial word in the abstract for more clarity to the reader.	Thank you for the feedback. We have carefully written the abstract within the purview of the space provided following our findings. Adding additional words may make it longer and out of the scope of our work. We have decided to leave it as is. Thank you.
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Sure	Thank you for the feedback.
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, but need to make APA edition.	Thank you for the feedback. Our original manuscript was referenced in APA 7 format.
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Need to read, and remove the English errors.	Thank you for the feedback. We used Grammarly to check grammar errors in the original manuscript.
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	