

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_130329
Title of the Manuscript:	Sustainable Urban Development in West Africa: A Rapid Review of Challenges and Opportunities
Type of the Article	Systematic Review

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • I like the manuscript because of the good in-text citation and good topic • The author did a fantastic job in avoiding plagiarism • The Research Status is commendable • The references are also fine as it is voluminous. 212 word are sufficient 	Thank you for the feedback
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of the article is perfect	Thank you for the feedback
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive. 212 words are sufficient	Thank you for the feedback
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct. It is very informative and talks about the Challenges and Opportunities in Sustainable Urban Development	Thank you for the feedback
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Yes, the references are comprehensive enough. • It would be fantastic to rearrange the references in an alphabetical order. For instance, all authors with the names starting with the letter "A" should be considered first, then followed by the letter "B" and so on. • The same thing applies to the keywords under the abstract section 	Thank you for the feedback. The references was arranged alphabetically in the original manuscript. The keywords were also arranged alphabetically in the original manuscript we submitted.
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language is of good quality	
Optional/General comments	In future, it would be great to arrange the references and keywords in an alphabetical order	This has been addressed in the original manuscript

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	We do not have any ethical issue.