

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Biochemistry Research &amp; Review</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_130577
Title of the Manuscript:	Elucidating the ocular benefits of Haritaki: Phytochemical analysis of Terminalia chebula compounds for Myopia and other Therapeutic uses using in vitro and in silico approaches
Type of the Article	Research article

**General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

**Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>  
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Natural plant exploration may lead to the discovery of new lead molecules.	OK
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes.	THANKS
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes.	THANK YOU
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes.	THANKS
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The present research work is excellent piece of work. All the data were presented in a well scientific manner within the manuscript. However, some rectifications are needed within the manuscript. 1. Include the plant authentication number. 2. Plant collection date & session. 3. Plant preparation section is not clear. 4. Include the latest references for all the methodologies. 5. In case of GCMS, need to include the specification of the instrument too.	NOTED

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	