

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_129698
Title of the Manuscript:	Physicochemical and biochemical changes in fresh onion (<i>Allium cepa</i> L., Alliaceae) bulbs produced from four dry-seasonal varieties in Korhogo, northern Côte d'Ivoire
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Onions (<i>Allium cepa</i>) are one of the most widely cultivated and consumed vegetables worldwide, known for their distinctive flavor, nutritional value, and versatile use in culinary practices. However, there are various species, varieties, and cultivars of onions, each exhibiting unique characteristics such as size, flavor, color, growth habits, and resistance to diseases. Comparing different species of onion holds significant importance for a range of purposes, including agricultural, nutritional, ecological, and economic benefits. _____ _____ _____	Thank you for your analysis
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	I think recent ones from 2024 can be added	Well noted. Improvements along these lines have been made to the manuscript. Thank you for your contribution.
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	Write detailed conclusion	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u>	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	