

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_129661
Title of the Manuscript:	Iron Status of Pregnant Women at different Trimester in Nsukka, Enugu State, South East, Nigeria.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is important to describe the cause of anaemia in Pregnant women at the mentioned locality. The cause of the anaemia will help in the treatment of the condition.	We acknowledge reviewer's comment on the importance of our manuscript and we are grateful.
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Iron Status of Pregnant Women at Nsukka, Enugu State, South East, Nigeria.	We agree reviewer's opinion .Title has been modified as recommended
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	There was no methods in the abstract section. There was selection of subjects. The conclusion did not match the study objective/aim. The abstract needs revision.	The abstract has been slightly revised as recommended by the reviewer and we hope to improve subsequently using the knowledge gained.
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The subject's selection is not statistically good. How can 6 in first trimester be compared with 50 in the second trimester and 71 in the third trimester?	We acknowledged reviewer's comment and revised the manuscript with the correct data that was mixed up.
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Most references are old. The authors should review this section.	Acknowledged . References have been revised
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes but spelling errors	Acknowledged and spellings have been revised, holistically.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The authors need to revisit the procedure used for Haemoglobin estimation. A standard procedure is required. The authors need to be specific about their own procedural duration not to give ranges. e.g (20 – 25°C), (using wavelength of 620 – 630nm) etc. The results were not properly presented. The study reveals that anaemia during pregnancy is highly prevalence, what is the basis of comparison? With inequality in the subjects recruited? How did authors arrived at Sample size? What type of study/Sampling?	The procedures for Hb and Ferritin concentration determination have been revisited by authors and specific room temperature, wavelength etc have been presented. Cross –sectional research design was employed and simple random sampling technique used. Correction has been made on recruitment of subjects. The comment of the reviewer enabled us to correct errors made and they are highly commendable.

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	