Review Form 3

Journal Name:	**International Journal of Advances in Nephrology Research**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_IJANR_129770**
Title of the Manuscript:	**PTM-Fetuin-A: A Promising Tool for Early Intervention in Chronic Kidney Disease among Diabetics**
Type of the Article	

<mark>PART 1:</mark> Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback *(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It's a well written article with a new promising biomarker for Diabetes kidney disease. Few studies have been published with regard to this topic. The study design is good and can prove to be reference for future work	**Thank you for acknowledging the relevance and potential of our study. We appreciate your recognition of the manuscript's solid design and its ability to serve as a reference for future research.**
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	yes	**We are glad the title is considered appropriate.**
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	**yes**	**Thank you for confirming that the abstract is comprehensive. We have ensured that it aligns with the manuscript's key findings.**
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	correct	**We appreciate your positive assessment of the manuscript's scientific correctness.**
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	sufficient	Thank you for noting that the references are sufficient and recent. We have also added the following references, as suggested: • Al-Khoury S, Afzali B, Shah N, Covic A, Thomas S, Goldsmith DJ. Anaemia in diabetic patients with chronic kidney disease—prevalence and predictors. *Diabetologia.* 2006 Jun;49:1183–9.

Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	suitable	Thank you for confirming the suitability of the language quality. Minor refinements have been made for improved readability.
Optional/General comments	The sample size is low. The sample numbers in each group is not specified. Correlation represented as graphs will be better, instead of the tables. Tables appear to complex for interpretation. Results can be rewritten into parts for better understanding. e.g: table showing the levels of marker , then the correlation graphs, significance etc	☐ **Sample size:** While we acknowledge the relatively small sample size, it is representative of the target population and sufficient to draw statistically significant conclusions. This has been addressed in the "Discussion" section as a limitation of the study. ☐ **Sample numbers in each group:** These details are already included in the revised "Materials and Methods" section to enhance clarity. ☐ **Graphical representation of data:** While we understand the suggestion to replace tables with graphs for better visualization, we believe the tabular format allows readers to examine detailed statistical data comprehensively. Additionally, converting all data into graphs may compromise the depth of information presented. ☐ **Complexity of tables:** To address concerns about complexity, we have refined the tables for clarity and ensured that their content is structured logically. For example, data related to marker levels, correlations, and statistical significance have been separated for ease of interpretation.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	none