

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Advances in Nephrology Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJANR_129770
Title of the Manuscript:	PTM-Fetuin-A: A Promising Tool for Early Intervention in Chronic Kidney Disease among Diabetics
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript addresses a significant gap in the early detection and management of diabetic kidney disease (DKD) by proposing PTM-Fetuin-A as a promising biomarker. Its ability to identify early renal dysfunction, even in normoalbuminuric patients, could revolutionize the clinical approach to DKD management. By enhancing the sensitivity and specificity of CKD detection, this research has the potential to significantly reduce the morbidity and mortality associated with DKD. Furthermore, it lays a strong foundation for future studies to validate PTM-Fetuin-A's utility across broader populations.	Thank you for recognizing the significance of our manuscript in addressing the gap in early detection and management of diabetic kidney disease (DKD). We appreciate your acknowledgment of the potential impact of PTM-Fetuin-A as a novel biomarker. Our study design aims to provide a solid foundation for future research and clinical application, which we believe will contribute meaningfully to the scientific community.
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title effectively reflects the manuscript's focus. However, a more concise version such as "PTM-Fetuin-A: A Novel Biomarker for Early Detection of Diabetic Kidney Disease" could enhance clarity and appeal.	We have revised the title to: "PTM-Fetuin-A: A Novel Biomarker for Early Detection of Diabetic Kidney Disease." This updated version aligns with your suggestion for a more concise and focused title, enhancing clarity and appeal.
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive but could benefit from a brief mention of the key results (e.g., specific correlation coefficients and significance levels) to strengthen its scientific rigor. Including a line on potential clinical implications would also enhance its impact.	The abstract has been revised to include key results, such as correlation coefficients and significance levels, to strengthen its scientific rigor. Additionally, we have included a mention of potential clinical implications, which further emphasizes the relevance of PTM-Fetuin-A in improving the detection and monitoring of DKD.
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript is scientifically robust, with a well-defined methodology and detailed statistical analyses. However, clarifications on the variability of PTM-Fetuin-A levels across time points and its implications for clinical practice would enhance understanding.	We appreciate your positive feedback regarding the scientific robustness of our methodology. While the variability of PTM-Fetuin-A levels over time is inherent in biomarker studies, we have provided a detailed discussion in the manuscript about how these fluctuations relate to clinical practice and early diagnosis of DKD.
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are sufficient and recent. Adding studies on similar biomarkers for CKD in non-diabetic populations could provide additional context for comparison. Suggested references include:  Ziyadeh FN, et al. "Pathogenesis of Diabetic Nephropathy." Kidney International. Levin A, et al. "KDIGO Guidelines for CKD Evaluation."	We have included the suggested references: Ziyadeh FN, et al. "Pathogenesis of Diabetic Nephropathy." Kidney International. Levin A, et al. "KDIGO Guidelines for CKD Evaluation." As well as others

Review Form 3

Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The manuscript is generally well-written, but minor improvements in sentence structure and grammar (e.g., eliminating redundancy in the introduction) would enhance readability.	Minor language improvements have been made to streamline the introduction and eliminate redundancy, ensuring clarity and enhancing readability for a broader audience.
Optional/General comments	<p>Including graphical representations or charts to visualize the correlation between PTM-Fetuin-A and traditional markers would make the findings more accessible to readers.</p> <p>Expanding the discussion on how PTM-Fetuin-A might be integrated into current clinical workflows would provide practical value.</p>	<p>□ <b>Graphical representation:</b> While we appreciate the suggestion to include graphical representations, we believe that the current use of tables effectively communicates the findings. The detailed tabular data allow readers to examine the results in depth, and the statistical analyses included in the manuscript sufficiently illustrate the relationships between variables.</p> <p>□ <b>Integration into clinical practice:</b> The discussion section has been expanded to address the potential application of PTM-Fetuin-A in clinical workflows. However, as this biomarker is still under investigation, we believe further studies are required to fully establish its clinical utility.</p> <p>□ <b>Sample size limitations:</b> Although the sample size is relatively modest, it is representative of the target population and sufficient to demonstrate statistically significant findings. This limitation has been acknowledged in the discussion as a foundation for future, larger-scale studies.</p>

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	none