

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_131114
Title of the Manuscript:	Sensory Evaluation of Rasmalai blended with Sweet potato (Ipomoea batatas L.) pulp
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The study provides valuable insights into; i. Economic benefit: the creation of new food products can generate economic benefits for the food industry ii. Environmental benefits: utilizing sweet potato pulp can reduce food waste and promote sustainable agriculture practices iii. Social benefits: this research can contribute to improved food security, nutrition, and health outcomes, particularly in regions where sweet potatoes are a staple crop. iv. Innovative food product development: this research can lead to the creation of novel, value-added dairy products with enhanced nutritional profiles	yes
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	yes
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	Modified
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes The research is scientifically because it contain research design, methodology, clear objectives, data analysis, sampling strategy, and execution	yes
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	No It is already stated in the manuscript	Modified
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	No It is already stated in the manuscript Such as using @ or i. e. these should be corrected	Some modified
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	