

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_130549
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Processing Methods on the Micronutrient Profile, Colour, and Anti-nutritive Components of Justicia Heterocarpa (mwidu)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	1- Indigenous leafy vegetables represent a valuable resource for enhancing dietary diversity and ensuring food security at the household level in developing countries 2- examined the impact of processing methods (blanching, drying, fermenting, and cooking) on the retention of nutrients and the reduction of anti-nutritional components in Justicia Heterocarpa. 3- Effect of Processing Methods on the Micronutrient Profile, Colour, and Anti-nutritive Components of Justicia Heterocarpa (mwidu)	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The Micronutrient Profile, Colour and Anti-Nutritive Components of Justicia Heterocarpa (mwidu) in Justicia Heterocarpa Using Processing Methods	Thank you for your suggestion regarding the title. We have reviewed both versions and believed that the original title ‘Effect of Processing Methods on the Micronutrient Profile, Colour, and Anti-Nutritive Components of <i>Justicia Heterocarpa</i> (mwidu) is more concise and avoid repetition while accurately reflecting the content and focus of the study. We kindly propose to retain the original title.
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract of the article should be in line with the objectives of the article.	Thank you for your suggestion, the abstract had been re write in clarity and readability which align with the objectives of the article
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	the references sufficient	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	There was no plagiarism.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	