

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_130326
Title of the Manuscript:	Protein Quality, Serum Biochemical and Haematological Parameters of Albino rats fed with Complementary Food Produced from Malted rice (Oryza sativa), Tiger nut (Cyperus esculentus) and Defatted Sesame seeds(Sesamum indicum)flour
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This study addresses infant malnutrition, a pressing public health issue, by evaluating locally sourced complementary foods. It demonstrates the nutritional benefits of a malted rice, tiger nut, and sesame seed blend, providing valuable insights into improving infant nutrition and encouraging similar research using local resources.	okay
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is descriptive but could be more precise about the study's focus. "Assessing Nutritional Value of Malted Rice, Tiger Nut, and Sesame Seeds on Protein Quality, Biochemistry, and Hematology in Albino Rats"	I have modified the topic as suggested by the reviewer. "Nutritional Evaluation of Complimentary Food Produced from Malted Rice (Oryza sativa), Tiger Nuts (cyperus esculentus) and Defatted Sesame Seeds Using Albino Rats"
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract should be revised to include specific results on protein quality, serum biochemical parameters, and hematological indices. This will clarify the impact of different formulations on infant nutrition and highlight the study's key findings.	Effect the corrections
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript is largely correct but contains some inconsistencies in the statistical analysis description and results interpretation.	Corrections effected
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are adequate, but incorporating recent studies (from the past five years) on complementary food formulation and nutritional evaluation would enhance the manuscript's context and credibility	Some references especially those from method of carrying out analyses are to be reported that way.
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The English quality is acceptable but requires proofreading to correct grammatical errors and improve clarity.	Authors proof read and have made some corrections.
Optional/General comments	The study's methodology is sound, and the results are well presented. Expanding the discussion on the implications of the findings for public health would enhance the manuscript's impact.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	