

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	European Journal of Medicinal Plants
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJMP_111154
Title of the Manuscript:	ASSESSMENT OF THE GENETIC DIVERSITY OF THE TRADITIONAL TREE SPECIES Kigelia africana (sausage tree) USING MOLECULAR MARKERS FOR CONSERVATION GENOMICS IN KENYA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalejmp.com/index.php/EJMP/editorial-policy>)

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)	The manuscript is an interesting one. With emphasis on using molecular markers for identification of the relatedness of plant species	OKAY
2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes it is	NOTED
3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?	Yes it is	OKAY
4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The subsections are adequate	NOTED
5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?	Yes I think they are	OKAY
6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	The references are current and suitable	
<b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>		
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes they are	NOTED
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	