

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Chemical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CSIJ_130325
Title of the Manuscript:	CHARACTERIZATION OF SPRAYER RINSING WATER IN RICE PLOTS IN THE YAMO USSOUKRO REGION, IVORY COAST
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The presence of these pesticide residues in rinsing water raises major environmental concerns and calls for more rigorous management of plant protection products.	The presence of these pesticide residues in these rinsing waters raises major environmental concerns. Also, and calls for more rigorous management of plant protection products.
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes suitable	Ok
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	Ok
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Correct	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	1. Some references were written in bold. Maintain uniformity for all the references 2. Ribeiro et al., 2022 - et al must be in italics 3. et al., or al maintain uniformity	1. Nous avons écrit ces références en gras et avons uniformisé toutes les références. 2. Nous avons remplacé certains auteurs par d'autres exprimant les mêmes idées. C'est le cas de Ribeiro and al., 2022 par Liang and al., 2015. 3.tous les "and al" ont été mis en italique
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	No comment