

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_131193
Title of the Manuscript:	Epidemiological Association between Oral Diseases and COVID-19: Pulpitis as a Key Risk Factor in a Primary Care Setting
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.		
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.		

Review Form 3

Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<p>A very interesting topic, with a lot of data connecting systematic diseases and oral diseases.</p> <p>The introduction gradually introduces the research issue. In the second paragraph you cited 5 times (and one time in the first paragraph). The materials and methods are very well written and give the opportunity to repeat the research in the same way. But, I didn't see that you mentioned the ethics committee, but it doesn't have a decision number, and e.g. a date; please add it if possible.</p> <p>The results are very well presented. In the fourth paragraph, you have the codes K.01.0 and K01.1 marked as impacted teeth. In the International Classification of Diseases version 10 (ICD-10) K01.0 is dentes retendi and K01.1 is dentes impactti. Please correct this (it can be thought that you have accounted for one disease twice). The tables are clear and well explained.</p> <p>3.3 Analysis of the association among sociodemographic and oral cavity, salivary gland and jaw diseases (K00–K14) and COVID-19- you have code K10.3.- alveolitis of maxilla. According to the ICD</p> <p><i>K10.3 Alveolitis of jaws Alveolar osteitis Dry socket</i> not similar to alveolitis of maxilla. Identical you have in the discussion.</p> <p>The discussion well connects your results and the results from other studies, also gave the possible mechanisms and explanations.</p> <p>The conclusion summarizes the research results well.</p> <p>In my opinion, the manuscript is for publication with minimal corrections.</p>	<p>Thank you for your valuable comments and feedback on our manuscript.</p> <p>We have carefully addressed all the points you raised:</p> <p>We have revised the introduction and we included other references. The Ethics Committee approval was specified in the corresponding section.</p> <p>The corrections regarding ICD-10 codes have been made and we included all codes utilised for logistic regression analysis. We have appropriately distinguished the codes K01.0 and K01.1. The code K10.3 has been corrected to align with ICD-10 terminology. The manuscript has been thoroughly reviewed to ensure accuracy and clarity.</p> <p>We appreciate your feedback, which has enhanced our work's quality.</p>

PART 2:

	<u>Reviewer's comment</u>	<u>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</u>
<u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u>	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	