

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_129849
Title of the Manuscript:	Geographic Distribution and Seasonality Patterns of COVID-19 Cases in Primary Care Units: A Descriptive Study.
Type of the Article	Methodological article

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The importance comes from the approach followed, the focus on a study population and a good time period that shows the impact of the disease, in addition to the type of analysis used. The geographic distribution and seasonality patterns of COVID-19 cases in primary care units provided a comprehensive overview of epidemiological trends at the primary care level and highlighted priority areas for intervention.	Thank you for your insightful comment. We appreciate your recognition of the approach, the study population focus, the analysis, and the time period used to highlight the impact of the disease. Your acknowledgment of the importance of geographic distribution and seasonality patterns in providing a comprehensive overview of epidemiological trends and identifying priority areas for intervention is greatly valued.
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Analytical study of the geographical distribution and seasonality patterns of COVID-19 cases in primary care units: a descriptive analytical study.	Thank you for your suggestion. We have accepted it, and the title has been updated to: Analytical study of the geographical distribution and seasonality patterns of COVID-19 cases in primary care units: a descriptive analytical study.
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The results and conclusion can be combined, and several lines about recommendations should be added	Thank you for your suggestion. The additional lines with recommendations have been included.
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Correct from the scientific side, with some modifications that we will explain. Such as modifying the curriculum, modifying the summary.	We will carefully analyse them and consider the proposed modifications.
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are good in both quantitative and qualitative aspects.	Thank you for your positive feedback.
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language is acceptable, but it could be better. The sentences and ideas should be linked in an easier way. Choose complex sentences. Avoid complicated sentences.	Thank you for your observation. The document has been sent to an editorial reviewer to improve the language, ensuring better flow and coherence by linking sentences and ideas more effectively.
Optional/General comments	The introduction should include more details about the topic. The introduction should be brief and should include the research problem, scientific gap, dedication and objectives. The population should be explained more broadly, what records were deleted and why they were deleted, and on what basis the sample was chosen according to clear mathematical laws. Add a more comprehensive analysis of the results.	Thank you for your valuable comments. We have made the suggested changes: the introduction now includes more details about the topic, clearly outlining the research problem and the study's significance. The population section has been expanded to explain more broadly the deleted records and the reasons for their removal. Additionally, a more comprehensive analysis of the results has been incorporated.

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	