

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_129825
Title of the Manuscript:	Antimicrobial Effects of Copper and Copper Alloys on Bacteria Pathogens in Processed Canned Fish
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is very important for the scientific community, especially regarding the antibacterial potential of copper and cooper alloys, generally this work can contribute future perspective drug for drug resistant pathogens.	Agreed
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	It is highly suitable	Yes
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	It is almost comprehensive.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	This manuscript is scientifically, correct	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The reference needs to be replaced by the recent references, because most of the references in this manuscript is old, add more recent references.	Current references have been added
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Its language quality is good	
Optional/General comments	This manuscript is good work, but as general comment some points needs to be amended 1. The references are old, so change them to the recent references. 2. Add more references related to this work to increase the sound for the manuscript. 3. Improve some grammatical errors. 4. In the abstract part, I think the place where the experiment was done is not important, just take it to the methodology part.	The references have been updated. Grammatical errors corrected The place where the experiment was done has been removed from the abstract.

[Review Form 3](#)

PART 2:

	<u>Reviewer's comment</u>	<u>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</u>
<u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u>	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	<u>There's no ethical issue.</u>