

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Cardiology and Angiology: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CA_130254
Title of the Manuscript:	KNOWLEDGE AND ATTITUDE TOWARDS CARDIOPULMONARY RESUSCITATION AMONG COMMUNITY MEMBERS IN SELECTED COMMUNITIES OF OBIO/AKPOR LGA, RIVERS STATE
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This study is significant to the scientific community because it identifies knowledge gaps and attitudes toward bystander CPR, an essential intervention for out-of-hospital cardiac arrests. The study offers insights into socio-demographic factors and obstacles in CPR practices, as well as practical recommendations to increase community engagement. Its findings serve to address the lack of research on CPR awareness in low-resource settings, enabling the development of targeted public health interventions.	Noted
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	It is often appropriate because it accurately reflects the study's focus, demographic, and geographical breadth. It emphasizes the main components—knowledge, attitude, and CPR—while identifying the target audience (community members) and place (Obio/Akpor LGA, Rivers State).	Ok
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Modify the background of the abstract to explain why it is vital to understand CPR knowledge and attitudes in the community, and how it can help improve public health and emergency response.Avoid repetition (for example, the term "mean score" appears several times). Simplify sentences to improve readability. Thus, the sentence, "Mean scores and above were categorized as good knowledge..." may be substituted by "Participants who scored above the mean score of [insert value] were categorized as having good knowledge."Include specific statistical values (like p-values) when mentioning significant results to help readers understand the findings.	Effectuated
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript is scientifically firm, with a well-organized design and appropriate methodology.	Ok
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Avoid older reviews and include recent five-year reviews The researcher may also referred to the recent guidelines.	Ok

Review Form 3

Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	It is often appropriate for scholarly communication.	
Optional/General comments	<p>In the introduction.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">Some sentences are verbose. This includes the following: "The provision of early bystander cardiopulmonary resuscitation is necessary to improve survival chances in out-of-hospital cardiac arrest by two-fold however survival chances decrease by 7-10% each minute without CPR." This can be simplified.Identify the gaps in existing knowledge or practices that this study seeks to fill.Avoid using redundant information (such as several references to survival rates with and without CPR).Include a brief discussion of previous studies in the opening to help contextualize the knowledge gap and describe the aims more clearly. <p>Study Population and Sampling</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">Include sample size calculation and justification for the purposive sampling method for selecting respondents. <p>Instruments</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">Include details on validity and reliability testing <p>Ethical Considerations</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">Include ethical approval reference number and date if available <p>Check for Grammer</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	