

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Cardiology and Angiology: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CA_130021
Title of the Manuscript:	Infected atrial myxoma : case report and review of the literature
Type of the Article	Case report

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1-reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Atrial Myxoma is a rare benign tumor of the heart, and infected Atrial Myxoma is extremely rare. Only very few case reports and small studies on their clinical presentation are available. Myxoma presenting as fever with cerebrovascular event(embolic episode) and the management of the patient is presented in this case report.	Thanks for the commnets
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	No, It would have been better if the title was ' Atrial myxoma- a rare presentation ' Or other similar titles.	Thanks for the commnets .noted and revised as per the suggestions.
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract was comprehensive.	Ok
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes the manuscript was correct.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, the references were sufficient. Can add the following reference - Kim SA, Pyo W, Jung SH. Infected Left Atrial Myxoma Presenting Without Bacterial Growth on Blood Cultures: A Case Report. J Chest Surg. 2023 Mar 5;56(2):136-139. doi: 10.5090/jcs.22.069.	Thanks for pointing it out.
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	To improve the academic quality of the article ,I suggest the following points- 1) Clinical presentation of the patient could have been more elaborate including all the blood investigations. 2) Histopathology slides of the excised biopsy specimen(Myxoma tissue) can be included in this article , which will add value to the manuscript. 3) Was the culture of the biopsy specimen done and did it grow the same organism(as that of blood culture)? Or any other molecular diagnostics like PCR methods(microbial identification) employed for analysing the biopsy sample? 4) Discussion could have been more elaborate comparing with other case reports.	Revised as per comments.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	