

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Cardiology and Angiology: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CA_130021
Title of the Manuscript:	Infected atrial myxoma : case report and review of the literature
Type of the Article	Case report

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1-reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It is very interesting case report . however, it is not written well There are missed data, poor presentation of case	Thanks for the commnets
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Not suitable And need more attractive topic	Thanks for the commnets
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	No Incidence of stroke in case of left atrial myxoma What is the difference between non infected and infected myoxoma?	Thanks for the commnets
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Course of antibiotics as it is diagnosed IE Risk of hemorrhagic transformation of stroke	Thanks for the commnets Noted and revised.
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Not enough	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	good	ok
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	