

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Cardiology and Angiology: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CA_129985
Title of the Manuscript:	Severe Tricuspid Valve Regurgitation Due to Chordal Rupture Following Blunt Chest Trauma: a case report
Type of the Article	Case report

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is important for health workers who handle chest trauma cases, because it can add to the body of knowledge, especially in the field of emergency chest trauma which causes heart complications.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Suggestions for titles should be short and interesting. alternative title "severe tricuspid valve regurgitation in chest trauma"	

Review Form 3

<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>abstracts do not represent scientific abstract writing. good abstract writing must contain the elements; introduction, methods, results and discussion</p>	
<p>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</p>	<p>This manuscript does not yet contain research methods, if possible the author would add this</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>Most of the references used are over 5 years old, only three references have a year of writing under 5 years old. Suggestion: use the latest references at least under 5 years old</p>	
<p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>generally quite good</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>	<p>improvements need to be made, according to the direction of the reviewer</p> <p>In general there are no ethical issues There are no conflicts of interest in this manuscript</p>	<p>Thank you for your valuable feedback on my manuscript. I truly appreciate the time and effort you have put into reviewing my work. I have carefully considered your comments and have made the necessary revisions to improve the clarity and quality of the manuscript.</p>

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	