

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Cardiology and Angiology: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CA_129898
Title of the Manuscript:	Rare ECG Dynamics in Acute Coronary syndrome: Co-occurrence of De Winter and Wellens' Signs in proximal LAD occlusion
Type of the Article	Case report

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is important as it talks about De Winter and Wellens' Signs which are rare ECG patterns that can indicate a proximal left anterior descending (LAD) artery occlusion.	- Thanks
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	- Thanks
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	- Thanks
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes	- Thanks
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	- Thanks
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	- Thanks
Optional/General comments	N/A There are no ethical issues in this manuscript. There are no competing interest issues in this manuscript. No plagiarism suspected.	- Thanks

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></u>	Nil