

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Biotechnology Journal International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJI_130998
Title of the Manuscript:	Morpho-Physiological Adaptations of Sorghum to Drought: Implications for Biomass Production and Grain Yield
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Comments

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b> Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Yea, it's timely and relevant topic since drought resistance varieties are important at these days, especially at the study area.	Acknowledged
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	It should be changed totally. After carefully read the body, try to re-write the title!	Article title has been revised to reflect the objectives and findings of the paper.
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	It's better than the other sections, but needs some minor modifications as stated in the comments.	All issues raised in the comments on the abstract have been addressed.
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	I second that! It can contribute some information to the scientific community.	Acknowledged
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	There's lack of references in the result and discussion section. Detailed comments are given there, and should be incorporated. Most of them are relatively recent, but few of them are too old, 2000??	Some of the old references have been replaced. Others were methodological references where particular methods were cited.
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language quality is good! I have no doubt.	Acknowledged
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	