

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Biotechnology Journal International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJI_130867
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of The Persistent Organic Compounds Residual Level in some selected Farm Soil where Telfaria occidentalis Hook F. Leaves (Ugu) was Cultivated in The Federal Capital Territory, Abuja - Nigeria
Type of the Article	Short communication

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The persistence of pesticides in soil was informed. Most of the pesticides can bioaccumulate along the food chain which can results in health risk of the consumers. It helps us to concern human beings about applied pesticides in agriculture.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	No Assessment of The Persistent Organic Compounds Residual Level in Farm Soil of Telfaria occidentalis Hook F. Cultivation Area in The Federal Capital Territory, Abuja – Nigeri	

Comment [DC1]: All the references have not been written in 'Reference' section. Please check it carefully and put down all the references.

Comment [DC2]: Very enlarged conclusion portion. The repetition of sentences written. Please present it short form without repetition and including only the facts.

Review Form 3

Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, but Mention the scientific names of these pests and their order as well. Mention the economic losses in percentage or in figure whichever available according to present statistical data. Map of FCT – Abuja showing the study area (Field Data) is not required. Mention the name of the sampling design. Mention the mesh size of the sieve. Denote as ‘30m × 250um × 0.25um’. Table 1-6 are not rightly formatted. Please amalgamate Table 1-6 into only one table. Same contents have been used in Table 1-6 except only Area Council name. Otherwise, it is very monotonous. All the footnote should be written only once in only one table and in presenting side by side not by longitudinally. Just like as follows, Note: SEM – Standard error on the Mean; FAO – Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations; WHO – World Health Organization; MRL – Maximum Residue Limit; ND – Not Detected; POC – Persistent Organic Compound Table 7 is not required. All the data were already presented in Table 1-6. There is a repetition of data. Table 8 is not required. All the data were already presented in Table 1-6. There is a repetition of data. The rank of occurrence may be incorporated in amalgamated single table (Table 1-6) with inserting column or presenting them in the parentheses just writing the Mean±SEM (mg/kg) values. Very enlarged conclusion portion. The repetition of sentences written. Please present it short form without repetition and including only the facts.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, but References should be written as per the journal’s format. All the references have not been written in ‘Reference’ section. Please check it carefully and put down all the references.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	