

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Soil Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ASRJ_131410
Title of the Manuscript:	Spatial variability and pollution loads of selected heavy metals in cocoa plantation soils in Cross River State, Nigeria.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.

This journal’ s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘ **lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer' s comment Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.	Author' s Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This research examines the spatial variability and pollution loads of heavy metals in cocoa plantation soils, providing critical insights into soil health and potential environmental risks. The findings can guide sustainable agricultural practices and inform policies to mitigate heavy metal contamination in Cross River State, Nigeria.	The reviewer comment is very much in line with the intention of this research article.
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	Agreed with the reviewer
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	Agreed with reviewer
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes	Agreed with the reviewer
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	Agreed with the reviewer
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	Agreed with the reviewer
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer' s comment	Author' s comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></u>	