

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Annual Research & Review in Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_130188
Title of the Manuscript:	Improvement of Agronomic Parameters of Rice Plants Infected by Rice Yellow Mottle Virus (RYMV) using plant extracts (Azadirachta indica, Piper nigrum L, Acacia gummifera, Combretum micranthum, and Clenodium vulgare L)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It investigates sustainable, natural ways to fight viral infections in rice crops, which is essential for enhancing food security worldwide. The study provides prospective options for increasing rice yield in RYMV-affected areas by analyzing the effectiveness of several plant extracts. Furthermore, it supports sustainable agriculture operations by adding to the expanding body of knowledge on environmentally friendly plant protection techniques.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	OK
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes its correct	OK
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes they are sufficient but they should be arranged in a proper order. Either year wise or alphabetically.	Noted and Revised
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes but some minor changes are there like few spelling mistakes.	Noted and Revised
Optional/General comments	The quality of English is well written and the manuscript can be accepted for the publication after minor revision.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with the reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	No there are no ethical issues in this manuscript.	