

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Annual Research & Review in Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_130188
Title of the Manuscript:	Improvement of Agronomic Parameters of Rice Plants Infected by Rice Yellow Mottle Virus (RYMV) using plant extracts (Azadirachta indica, Piper nigrum L, Acacia gummifera, Combretum micranthum, and Clenodium vulgare L)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	1-This study is important to improve agricultural products with natural materials that are not harmful to human health. 2- This study enables us to know which plant is most effective and most useful 3- Limit or reduce the risk of this virus on plant	Thank you for your comments
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of this research is suitable but must be refers to the type of organism as <i>Clenodium vulgare</i> that write as follow <i>Clenodium vulgare</i> plant after the end of the latest plant	Thank you for this comment, we think it would be important to remove the scientific names in the title to reduce the number of words.  The scientific names are already in the methodology.
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	the abstract of the article is comprehensive	Thank you for your comments
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	the manuscript is scientifically,	Thank you for your comments
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	the references sufficient and recent but can be transform to numbers in the text of paper note: In the first paragraph of the introduction, the researcher used the same source in three lines and this is not permissible, it is better to unite the three lines with one source  . In 2020, global rice production was 503.167 million milled tons with an estimated consumption of over 501.962 million tons of milled rice, Asia continues to be on top in terms of rice consumption with 88.86% of total rice consumption (USDA, 2021).	We have noticed that there are articles in the journal whose references are not transformed into numbers. We think that it would be interesting to keep the references as such for better readability.  We have taken your comments into account

Review Form 3

Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	There are some incorrect grammar.	Regarding our grammar we are going to improve it.
Optional/General comments	<p>1-the researcher did not give general information about this plant or about the virus.</p> <p>2-It is not permissible to using abbreviations such as <b>USD, CFA</b>.</p> <p>3-What is the meaning of <b>USD and CFA</b>.</p> <p>4- the referances must be correct like (5,18,21, 32)</p> <p>4-this reference required to write the number and volium of journal</p> <p>Research lacks specific concentrations for testing as 2% or 3% of extract (The test was performed without making concentrations for the extract).</p>	<p>1-Given the high number of plants, it was not possible to provide enough information about the plants.</p> <p>2, 3- We have taken your comments into account</p> <p>4- Reference 5 is a symposium act and therefore cannot have a number or volume.</p> <p>References 21 and 32 are not articles but reports so there cannot be volume numbers with regard to 05 and 18 the numbers and volumes of the newspapers have been added.</p> <p>- Solutions obtained from plant extracts at a concentration of 1% were used directly to spray leaf surfaces as soon as symptoms appeared after inoculation.</p>

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	