

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Annual Research & Review in Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_128379
Title of the Manuscript:	Optimizing breadfruit processing for nutritional, phytochemical and antioxidant properties: enhancing food security in Nigeria.
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.		
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.		

Review Form 3

Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	<div>Comments for the manuscript titled</div> <div>Optimizing breadfruit processing for nutritional, phytochemical and antioxidant properties: enhancing food security in Nigeria.</div> <div>Extraction of Samples</div> <div>Please mention each sample in the content as raw, boiled and toasted.</div> <div>Vitamin determination</div> <div>Please mention how standard solution was prepared.</div> <div>Please mention the time intervals for absorbance measurement in each case</div> <div>Please cite the references or sources followed for carrying out the experiment</div> <div>Tables</div> <div>Tables 1 – 3.</div> <div>Follow uniformly the units either mg or ug</div> <div>FAO / WHO given twice in the table confusing. Mention clearly</div> <div>Conclusion</div> <div>More clarity needed</div>	<div>Noted</div> <div>Revised accordingly</div> <div>Noted and effected</div> <div>Done</div>

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	