

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Mathematics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJOM_130419
Title of the Manuscript:	Theoretical Analysis of Nonlinear partial differential equations with Mixed Boundary Conditions
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/> Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The article provides a robust method, called HAM to solve nonlinear problems.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is not representative of the content in the article. Suggest: HAM for mixed convection boundary layer equations.	Ok
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract should briefly discuss the objective, method, significant findings of the research.	Noted and revised
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The article does not indicate what problem is being investigated.	ok
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	yes	Thanks
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	No,	
Optional/General comments	1. The manuscript has no page numbering. It is difficult to specifically comment the corrections. 2. There is no concentration equation in the governing equation. Nevertheless, it is stated in the abstract and mathematical formulation section. 3. The convergence of graphical solutions are not sufficient. Use larger values of eta. Example, $\eta = 10, 12$	Noted and revised

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	