|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | |
| Journal Name: | [**Asian Research Journal of Gynaecology and Obstetrics**](https://journalarjgo.com/index.php/ARJGO) |
| Manuscript Number: | **Ms\_ARJGO\_131590** |
| Title of the Manuscript: | **An Elusive Diagnosis: Malignant PEComa of the Uterus Mimicking Uterine Fibroids – A Rare Case Report.** |
| Type of the Article | **Case report** |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| PART 1: Comments | | |
|  | Reviewer’s comment **Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.** | Author’s Feedback *(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)* |
| **Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.** | **This manuscript is crucial for the scientific community as it introduces innovative methodologies that could significantly advance our understanding. The thorough research and detailed analysis presented offer valuable insights and potential applications that could drive further studies. I appreciate this manuscript for its rigorous approach and clear presentation, which enhances its impact and readability. However, the complexity of the data might pose a challenge for readers unfamiliar with advanced techniques in the field.** | Thank you for the kind comment.. Yes I do agree that the content of this case report might pose a challenge for readers who are not in the field of Gynae-oncology, however I am writing this manuscript with clear aims to contribute to the community related to this rare tumour, hence the robust data. Nevertheless, I have use a more common and hopefully easily understandable sentences so that to benefits readers who are not from our fraternity. |
| **Is the title of the article suitable?**  **(If not please suggest an alternative title)** | **Yes** | Thank you |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. | **Yes** | Thank you |
| Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here. | **Yes** | Thank you |
| **Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.** | **Yes** | Thank you |
| Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | Yes | Thank you |
| Optional/General comments |  |  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **PART 2:** | | |
|  | **Reviewer’s comment** | **Author’s comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)* |
| **Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?** | *(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)* | Thank you |