

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Gynaecology and Obstetrics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJGO_130016
Title of the Manuscript:	IMPACT OF COMBINED ORAL CONTRACEPTIVE PILLS ON CD4 COUNT, OSMOTIC FRAGILITY, TOTAL AND DIFFERENTIAL WHITE BLOOD CELLS OF USERS IN ANTENATAL CLINIC IN PORT HARCOURT
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is important to the scientific community.	Thanks for the comments
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	Ok
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	Thanks for the comments
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	<p>The article is interesting, but needs thorough revision.</p> <p>The article presents figures 1-3, which are completely undescribed. The graphs shown have one bold black line and are not entirely clear. Maybe the authors should use a dotted line.</p> <p>The article presents figures 1-3, which are completely undescribed. The graphs shown have one bold black line and are not entirely clear. Perhaps the authors should use a dotted line.</p> <p>In graphs 4-5, it is also worth changing the color of the columns that represent different groups of women and indicating the numbers above the columns.</p>	<p>Thanks for the comments</p> <p>Noted and revised</p>
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The reference list needs to be updated. There are no references since 2020! The discussion section contains references to authors who are not in the bibliography!!!	Ok

Review Form 3

<p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>	<p>The reference list needs to be updated. There are no references since 2020!</p> <p>The discussion section contains references to authors who are not in the bibliography!!!</p> <p>The materials and methods section does not indicate the average age of women in each group.</p> <p>What statistical methods did the authors use, write</p> <p>It is necessary to note the features of the reproductive history of women - the number of pregnancies, births, children with developmental disabilities, stillbirths, miscarriages.</p>	

PART 2:

	<p>Reviewer's comment</p>	<p>Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i></p>
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	