

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJA_130767
Title of the Manuscript:	Infestation of mulberry leaves by Leaf roller/webber-Diaphania pulverulentalis (Hampson) and Glyphodes pyloalis (walker) and its management strategies
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Mulberry leaves are of utmost importance for the economic production of mulberry silkworm production. Insect pests are one of the key factors of crop damage and low production. This manuscript discusses about the key insect pests of mulberry and their sustainable management through integrated pests management (IPM) strategies. This will benefit both farmers and researchers alike.	Thanks for the comments
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	Ok
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract comprehensive.	Thanks for the comments
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript (review article) is scientifically correct except for a few typing errors of scientific names.	Ok
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Review suggested for references i) Bhat et al, 2020; Mathur, 1980; Nighat et al, 2002, Sathyaseelan et al, 2002 mentioned in the manuscript are not given in the Reference section. ii) Kumar et al, 2021; Kumar et al, 2024 are given as Sunil Kumar and Kishan Kumar respectively in the Reference section, not following the alphabetical format style for reference name. iii) Manjunath et al, 2000 given in the Reference section is not mentioned in the manuscript. iv) Journal names given in the Reference section doesn't follow a single format. Some are given in full, some in short form while some in abbreviation.	Noted and added
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Minor spelling and punctuation mistakes.	Ok
Optional/General comments	Plagiarism not suspected.  PLEASE SEE ATTACHMENT	Noted and revised as per the suggestions

Review Form 3

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	No	The suggestions by reviewer has been successfully incorporated in the revised manuscript. The author appreciates the efforts of reviewer.