

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJA_130723
Title of the Manuscript:	Mathematical Modeling of Host-Parasitoid Dynamics for Sustainable Pest Management
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The work seems important for representing host parasite interaction mathematically. This will spark such type of work in future. Control of pests by their natural enemies is on forefront for minimising use of chemicals in agriculture. Work is appreciable in the sense that natural control of pests appear to be modelled likewise.	The author is thankful to the reviewer.
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	-
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	-
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes	-
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	-
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Some changes required.	The author checked the manuscript for English quality
Optional/General comments	Make changes as suggested in returning manuscript.	The author made changes in the returning manuscript. Also, more detailed results and discussion part included

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	Nil