

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Research Journal of Agriculture</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJA_130196
Title of the Manuscript:	The Role of Soil Health in Enhancing Agricultural Profitability: An Economic Perspective
Type of the Article	

**General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

**Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>  
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is significant for the scientific community as it highlights the critical role of soil health in enhancing agricultural profitability and sustainability, particularly in the Indian context. By integrating scientific evidence and case studies, it underscores the importance of sustainable practices like conservation tillage, organic farming, and biochar application in maintaining soil fertility and resilience. The insights into government initiatives such as the Soil Health Card program and innovative approaches like agrivoltaics provide a comprehensive understanding of how policy and technology can work synergistically to address soil degradation. This work serves as a valuable resource for researchers, policymakers, and practitioners aiming to promote sustainable agriculture and long-term economic stability in the agricultural sector.	Thank you for your valuable
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, it needed not to be changed as the Title is simpler to understand and matching the written content.	Thank you
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract provides a comprehensive summary of the article, effectively capturing its main points and engaging the interest of readers. It outlines the key themes and findings, allowing for a clear understanding of the article's purpose and relevance. To enhance clarity and effectiveness further, I have suggested minor modifications and specific changes to the abstract in the track changes document. These adjustments aim to improve the overall flow and readability while maintaining the integrity of the original content.	Thanks for your comments and all changes have done as per comments of the reviewer.
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript's structure is well-organized, with each subsection logically following the previous one. Ensure each subsection addresses specific points to maintain clarity and coherence.	Thank you
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are relevant.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language is mostly suitable for scholarly communication, but some parts need minor edits to improve clarity and flow. Making sentences clearer and using simpler terms in a few places will help readability and accuracy.	All suggested corrections have been done in the manuscript
Optional/General comments	There are few or lesser grammatical errors in the article, such as prepositions, articles, redundancy, and some minor mistakes.  Please See Attachment.	All mistakes removed as suggested by reviewer

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	Yes, We are agreed and all corrections have been incorporated in the manuscript.