

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJA_130035
Title of the Manuscript:	Germination and growth of <i>Faidherbia albida</i> (Del.) A. Chev. under different proportions of potting soil and different water regimes.
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript has an important role in finding the appropriate way to germinate seeds of <i>Faidherbia albida</i> , which helps to propagate them, which has environmental advantages and as livestock feed	Thanks for the comments
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	No The experiment design in germination experiment .no split plot designcorrect it What appears in the title should not appear in the keywords.	This is two experimental designs: 1) We used a Split plot experimental design for the germination test in the laboratory. 2) We established a randomized complete block design with three blocks and nine plots representing the pre-treatments in a nursery to determine the growth variables.
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	No In the experiment design in materials and methods, Mention that the experiment design is RCBD and said main factor and sub factor, The block not the sub factor The block contains of main factor and sub factor The number of seeds in Petri dish is very few 4 only	We have corrected the experiment design in material and method as a RCBD with three blocks and 9 plots representing the pre-treatments (as a combination of two factors i.e. substrate and water regime)
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	Ok
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	No it need some adjustments	We made some adjustments in the manuscripts, particularly the abstract.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	