

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_131351
Title of the Manuscript:	School Leadership on implementing re-entry policy for adolescent mothers in Tanzania: A systematic review
Type of the Article	Journal (A Systematic Review)

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript addresses a critical yet underexplored issue in educational policy and leadership concerning adolescent mothers' re-entry into schools in Tanzania. The study adds on to existing literature by examining the role of school leadership in the successful implementation of re-entry policies. It provides valuable insights into the challenges and gaps in policy awareness, implementation, and leadership attitudes. This research is significant for policymakers, educators, and advocacy groups who aim to promote gender and inclusive education.	I appreciate
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	<p>The title is clear and relevant to the manuscript's topic of discussion. However, a small addition of "the role of" in front of the title is recommended for clarity and specificity:</p> <p>"The Role of School Leadership in Implementing the Re-entry Policy for Adolescent Mothers in Tanzania: A Systematic Review"</p> <p>Another confusion is that the author tends to put Tanzania in the title but there is no specific description that the articles reviewed only applied to research done focus on Tanzania.</p>	<p>An addition of the phrase the role of has been added to the title now the title reads: <i>The Role of School Leadership in Implementing the Re-entry Policy for Adolescent Mothers in Tanzania: A Systematic Review</i></p> <p>The name Tanzania appears in the title to clearly define the scope of the review focusing specifically on the re-entry policy and its implementation within the Tanzanian educational context.</p>

Review Form 3

Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive, covering aims, methodology, results, and conclusions. However, it is recommended to add on a brief statement of the broader issue of adolescent motherhood and its impact on education in Tanzania to help readers understand the significance of the study. For example, it should be started with: "Adolescent motherhood remains a significant barrier to education in Tanzania, with thousands of girls dropping out of school annually due to pregnancy."	A brief statement of two sentences has been added in the abstract to help readers understand the significance of the study. It is placed at the begging of a section of the aim in the abstract.
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	<p>The manuscript follows PRISMA guidelines for systematic reviews, which ensures methodological rigor. However, there are few issues should be highlighted:</p> <p>1. Search Strategy:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">The reliance on only Google Scholar may limit the comprehensiveness of the review. Consider justifying this sole reliance.There is no specific description on the search term of 'Tanzania' to relate this paper discovering the Re-entry Policy for Adolescent Mothers in Tanzania as stated in the title. <p>2. Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria: Clearly define the justification for the 10-year inclusion period.</p> <p>3. Data Extraction and Analysis: The coding process should be explained more details on how themes were developed and coded.</p> <p>4. Writing structure and Format/Technicality</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">The number of Table/Figure is not parallel to the reference put in the text. Please check and refine.Consider checking the suitability of the title of the Table/Figure.For Table 2- please ensure to add on the name for each item/column.The acronym in the text should be written appropriately.Please ensure the paper follows the formatting structured for this journal.	<p>1. Search strategy</p> <p>Google scholar was solely used because it provides access to a diverse range of peer reviewed content, ensuring that high quality research is obtained. Additionally, a transparent methodology was employed detailing the search strategy, key words and inclusion criteria reinforcing the rigor of the review process. While the researcher acknowledges the limitations of using a single source, this approach serves as a robust foundation for future research that may incorporate additional database.</p> <p>2. Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria</p> <p>The author used a ten years timeframe as allows for examination of research conducted to give insights into its impact and effectiveness over a substantial period. Again, a decade offers a balance between capturing recent trends and accumulating sufficient evidence for a meaningful systematic review.</p> <p>3. Data Extraction and Analysis</p> <p>The coding process has been explained in detail, outlining how each stage was conducted. The methodology section is divided into subsections for clarity, allowing readers to easily understand the execution of each stage.</p> <p>4. Writing structure and Format/Technicality</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">The number of Tables and Figures have been put in parallel to the reference textsThe suitability of the titles of Figures and Tables have been considered.The heading for each column has been added in Table 2.The paper now aligns to the format of the journal.
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	<p>The manuscript cites relevant sources, including recent studies. However, some references are outdated (e.g., pre-2015). The writer needs to replace them with newer literature where applicable.</p> <p>Please check the reference formatting to ensure its consistency and according to the journal's style.</p>	<p>Only the current literature have been used in the manuscript. The literature by Omwancha, K.M (2012) has been omitted.</p> <p>The references are consistent with the journals style.</p>

Review Form 3

Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language is generally suitable for scholarly communication but has minor grammatical and structural issues. A thorough proofreading for clarity, sentence structure, and consistency is recommended.	The author has tried to edit the manuscript to remove minor grammatical errors and for clarity and consistency.
Optional/General comments	<div>1. The discussion section should integrate findings more explicitly with existing literature.</div> <div>2. Provide a stronger justification for why school leadership is critical in re-entry policy implementation.</div> <div>3. Consider including a brief section on policy recommendations based on the findings.</div>	<div>1. The discussion has been integrated with the findings more explicitly with the existing literature.</div> <div>2. A stronger justification has been provided to justify why school leadership is important in re-entry policy implementation (See the 3rd paragraph the sentences highlighted with yellow colour.</div> <div>3. A brief section has been added just before the conclusion. The section has been highlighted with a yellow colour.</div>

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	