

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Research Journal of Arts &amp; Social Sciences</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_129678
Title of the Manuscript:	How the Presence of an Authority Figure Influences Individual Choices and Stress Levels: a One-Time Experimental Study
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

#### PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	In substance, the article has several scientific contributions to the science of child mental health	Thank you.
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is appropriate	Thank you.
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract needs to add concrete problems. Then you need to add the gender of the research respondents and describe the conclusions of your research.	Clarifications have been made according to this comment.  The researchers did not account for gender differences among the participants, which serves as a limitation of the study and a potential area for future research.  Future research should examine possible moderating factors, such as gender differences and perceived legitimacy of authoritative figures, to better understand the role of authority in moral decision-making.
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	This article can be said to be scientific in substance because it describes in detail the research findings and is supported by previous research results as a comparison between the findings and research statements. It is also necessary to add a theory of mental health psychology	A theory of mental health psychology has been added to the paper.
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references used are very up-to-date and relevant to the research discussion. However, there are some references that do not have a DOI. It is better to have it completed	There are no available DOI for some references used but the URL can be accessed to the public.
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language quality used in this article is very good	Thank you.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<b>This article meets scientific standards, and may be considered for publication in the Asian Research Journal of Arts &amp; Social Sciences.</b>  No competing interests So, far there are no ethics violated by the author	Thank you. We appreciate the acknowledgement.

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	