

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Oncology Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AORJ_130854
Title of the Manuscript:	Advances in Oral and Maxillofacial Cancer Research:A Comprehensive Review
Type of the Article	Comprehensive Review

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is a good introduction to oral and maxillofacial cancer diagnostics and therapeutics, indepth analysis is lacking.	Noted and revised
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The manuscript is not completely comprehensive and does not include many features such as advances in biopsy techniques to diagnose and guide in treatment of oral cancer. The title may be changed to convey the meaning that, it is an introduction or overview of advances in oral cancer.	Ok
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract is fine, though the article itself is quite brief.	Thanks
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, it is scientifically correct	ok
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	Ok
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Few grammar errors can be corrected	Revised
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The article gives an overview of newer advances, but is not a comprehensive review.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	