

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Oncology Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AORJ_127743
Title of the Manuscript:	Mechanisms and Clinical Impact in Solid Tumors: Present Status and Future Landscapes
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Cancer has a high mortality rate across the globe,a solid tumor is an abnormal mass of tissue that can occur anywhere in the body ,including the bones and organs so this manuscript will contribute for the scientific community.	Noted
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	Thanks s
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	No .Author need to add more about the mechanism and pathways regarding the diseases.	Ok
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes	Thanks
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Author need to add more references.	Added more references
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	Thanks
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	