

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJSSPN_130594
Title of the Manuscript:	Growth, productivity, available nitrogen, available phosphors, available potassium, available sulphur influenced by different plant spacing and nitrogen levels of Maize (Zea mays L.) in Bathinda districts of Punjab
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It is certain that the effect of fertilizer on crop growing and yield is very important and the selecting of the rite fertilizer for the rite crop with the appropriate quantity can gave good high production with conservation of resources, crop and soil and appropriate treatments have a very significant impact on production in terms of quantity and quality under climate conditions	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Title must adjust to reflect the manuscript content; I suggest to be as: <b>Effect of different plant spacing and nitrogen levels on growth, productivity and available NPK of maize in Bathinda Districts of Punjab</b>	Revised
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is article good; the authors follow the scientific style to write it, it is content Aims, Place and Duration of Study, Methodology, Results and Conclusion	Effected
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript scientifically is correct, and the author has followed the scientific method to conducted the experiment, the authors cited a large number of references and studies, this makes his result strengthen.	Noted
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The number of references is too large I suggest that the author adopt modern references and resent study to guide them and delete the old references, especially before 2012, for example, and suffice with 20 to 25 references	Revised
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- The objective of the study is not explained, the objective of the study must be specified at the end of the introduction very clearly</li><li>- Some paragraph necessary to rewrite it in an orderly and clear way (see the manuscript)</li><li>- It is butter to state any table in the end of it result</li><li>- conclusion is very long and not clear, so I suggest to author to re write the conclusion in the form of points to be clear, direct and easy</li><li>- The number of references is too large</li><li>- I suggest that the author adopt modern references and resent study to guide them and delete the old references, especially before 2012, for example, and suffice with 20 to 25 references</li><li>- In general, the result and discussion necessary to re write and focusing on the result to be clear and understandable</li><li>- The scientific name was mentioned in the first paragraph and this is enough</li><li>- Many paragraphs must be redrafted and have been highlights in the attached review file, especially in the results and discussion</li></ul>	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	