

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJSSPN_130594
Title of the Manuscript:	Growth, productivity, available nitrogen, available phosphors, available potassium, available sulphur influenced by different plant spacing and nitrogen levels of Maize (Zea mays L.) in Bathinda districts of Punjab
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Comments

	<p><b>Reviewer’s comment</b></p> <p>Incorporate the comments given in the manuscript itself.</p> <p>What was the basis for choosing the different nitrogen levels ?</p> <p>Generally 120 kg / ha nitrogen is taken as 100% that is recommended by PAU.</p> <p>But in this study even the 125% nitrogen was just 51 kg / ha ?</p> <p>Some data need to be cross checked.</p>	<p><b>Author’s Feedback</b><i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i></p> <p>Noted</p>
<p><b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>	<p><b>The study is good and conducted on a crop which has green value under the Punjab conditions. Optimising the spacing and nitrogen levels for the better yield and saving the nutrients sources is need of the hour.</b></p>	<p>Noted</p>
<p><b>Is the title of the article suitable? No (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b></p>	<p><b>Growth, productivity and soil properties as influenced by different spacing and nitrogen levels on maize ( Zea mays L.) in Bathinda district of Punjab</b></p>	<p>Revised</p>
<p><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b></p>	<p><b>It need to be rewritten, keep it more concise and write in a flow starting with main plot and then sub plot and ending with the key output of the study</b></p>	<p>Revised</p>
<p><b>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</b></p>	<p>Yes, it scientifically correct but need the revisions as suggested in the manuscript file.</p>	
<p><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b></p>	<p>Few references related to closer spacing or paired row planting can also be included as that also results in lower yield, so results can be justified.</p> <p>Try to use 2022, 2023 and 2024 references related to this study</p>	<p>Corrected</p>

Review Form 3

Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	It's okay but proper proof reading needs to be done by the authors before submitting again.	
Optional/General comments	Authors have put an significant effort in compiling the research.	Ok

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	