

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJSSPN_130561
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Different Level of Nano Urea on Yield, Quality and Shelf life of Strawberry (Fragaria X ananassa) cv. Winter Dawn, under Prayagraj Agro Climatic Condition
Type of the Article	Research article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	With the advancement in agriculture field the method of providing fertilizer has also been improved. The nano urea has emerged as a cutting edge technology having better delivery efficiency and cheaper price could help the farmers to reduce the cost of cultivation to a considerable level. However, being new in the field every product must be tested on all the major crops to find out the exact results which would help in gaining confidence of farmer and also will open new door of research in the coming times.	Thanks for the comments
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	No consider it revising so it could reflect the actual study outcomes.	Done
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	Thanks for the comments
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes but check for the titratable acidity why is it rising and how it is counted under superior trait.	Thanks for the comments
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	yes	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	Thanks for the comments
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Kindly un bold all the refences mentioned in the text and follow journals guidelines for citations. Add doi no. wherever possible.	Ok thanks

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	